

MATH 2040 Lecture 21 (24/11/2016)

Setting: $T: V \rightarrow V$ linear on a vector space V
(assume $F = \mathbb{C}$, $\dim V = n$)

$$K_\lambda := \{ v \in V \mid (T - \lambda I)^p v = 0 \text{ for some } p \geq 1 \}$$

Last time: (1) λ eigenvalue, and $E_\lambda \subseteq K_\lambda$

(1) K_λ T -inv. subspace

$$(2) \forall \mu \neq \lambda, T - \mu I: K_\lambda \xrightarrow{\cong} K_\lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow (T - \mu I)^m: K_\lambda \xrightarrow{\cong} K_\lambda \quad \forall m$$

$$(3) \dim K_\lambda \leq m, \quad N(T - \lambda I)^m = K_\lambda$$

where $m = \text{multiplicity of } \lambda$

Theorem: $V = K_{\lambda_1} \oplus \dots \oplus K_{\lambda_k}$ (*)

Proof: (1) $V = K_{\lambda_1} + \dots + K_{\lambda_k}$

(2) "Direct": If $v_i \in K_{\lambda_i}$ st. $v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_k = 0$
then each $v_i = 0$ for $i=1, \dots, k$.

• Prove (1) by induction on $k = \#$ of eigenvalues of T

$k=1$: Only 1 eigenvalue λ

$$\Rightarrow \text{char. poly.} = f(t) = (-1)^n (t - \lambda)^n$$

Cayley-Hamilton $\Rightarrow (T - \lambda I)^n = 0$

$$\Rightarrow K_\lambda = N(T - \lambda I)^n = N(0) = V$$

Assume it is true for $k-1$ eigenvalues.

Let's say $\exists k$ distinct e.values $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$
w/ multiplicities m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k

$$\Rightarrow \text{char. poly} = f(t) = (-1)^n (t - \lambda_1)^{m_1} \dots (t - \lambda_k)^{m_k}$$

Q: To find a subspace $W \subseteq V$ st.

$T|_W : W \rightarrow W$ has $k-1$ eigenvalues?

...

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \boxed{\lambda_1^{*0}} & & & \\ & \boxed{\lambda_2^{*0}} & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & \boxed{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

$W = \text{range}(J) = R(T)$

↑ make this 0

block conv. to λ_k : $\left[\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_k & & & \\ & \lambda_k & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & \lambda_k \end{pmatrix} - \lambda_k I \right]^{m_k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{m_k} = 0$

$\leq m_k$

Define: $W = R((T - \lambda_k I)^{m_k})$

Check: \checkmark W T -invariant ($\because (T - \lambda_k I)$ -inv $\Rightarrow T$ -inv.)

\checkmark $K_{\lambda_i} \subseteq W$ for $i=1, \dots, k-1$

($\because (T - \lambda_k I)^{m_k} : K_{\lambda_i} \xrightarrow{\cong} K_{\lambda_i} \Rightarrow K_{\lambda_i} \subseteq W$)

Restrict T onto W

$$T|_W : W \rightarrow W \quad \text{linear}$$

Claim: This has $k-1$ distinct eigenvalues. (Ex:)

By induction.

$$W = K_{\lambda_1} + \dots + K_{\lambda_{k-1}}$$

Claim: $V = (K_{\lambda_1} + \dots + K_{\lambda_{k-1}}) + K_{\lambda_k}$

Pf: Take any $v \in V$,

$$\begin{aligned} W \ni (T - \lambda_k I)^{m_k} v &= \underbrace{v_1}_{K_{\lambda_1}} + \underbrace{v_2}_{K_{\lambda_2}} + \dots + \underbrace{v_{k-1}}_{K_{\lambda_{k-1}}} \\ &= (T - \lambda_k I)^{m_k} \underbrace{u_1}_{K_{\lambda_1}} + \dots + (T - \lambda_k I)^{m_k} \underbrace{u_{k-1}}_{K_{\lambda_{k-1}}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow (T - \lambda_k I)^{m_k} \underbrace{(v - u_1 - \dots - u_{k-1})}_{u_k \in K_{\lambda_k}} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow v = u_1 + \dots + u_{k-1} + u_k \quad \text{where } u_i \in K_{\lambda_i}.$$

Proof of (2): "Direct"

Suppose $v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_k = 0$ where $v_i \in K_{\lambda_i}$

$$(T - \lambda_k I)^{m_k} v_1 + (T - \lambda_k I)^{m_k} v_2 + \dots + \underbrace{(T - \lambda_k I)^{m_k} v_k}_{= 0} = 0$$

Lemma (3)

By induction, $v_1 = v_2 = \dots = v_{k-1} = 0$

$$\Rightarrow v_k = 0.$$

_____ \square